Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/055,922	CONNOR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phuoc H. Nguyen	2143
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Phuoc H. Nguyen.	(3)	
(2) Mark Kendrick Reg. No. 48,468.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>の知</u> 2つし	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Examiner contacted Applicant's representative regarding whether a response to the lastest office action had been sent.  Applicant's representative indicated that it was the intent of the Applicant to let the application go abandon		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	onature – if appropriate)
(Applicant	. Apriloditi o itopiosofitative Of	anatara ii appropriato/

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)